# Morphology of Cosmological Fields during the Epoch of Reionization

#### Akanksha Kapahtia

Indian Institute of Astrophysics (Joint Astronomy Program, IISc Bengaluru)

#### With

#### Pravabati Chingangbam (Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Bengaluru)

#### Stephen Appleby (Korea Institue of Advanced Studies, Seoul)

#### AK, P. Chingangbam et al JCAP 2018; AK, P. Chingangbam et al arXiv:1904.06840

### 21cm Cosmology for Epoch of Reionization

Cosmic inflation would have amplified minute quantum fluctuations (pre-inflation) into slight density ripples of overdensity and underdensity (post-inflation) It is these fluctuations that are the seeds of structure formation in the universe.



Image Credit: Roen Kelly- Discover Magazine

### **Observational evidence of EoR**

#### Ly-alpha

- Spectra of distant quasars show an absorption trough.
- $x_{HI} \simeq 10^{-4} \Omega_m^{1/2} h (1+z)^{3/2} \tau_{\alpha}$
- Universe is highly ionized atleast till  $z \simeq 6.$ (Fan et.al. AnnRev.AA 2006)



#### CMB

- The scattering of CMB photons induces polarizations and temperature anisotropies.
- Optical depth to last scattering,  $au_{ls} \sim$  0.054. (Planck 2018)

# The 21cm spin flip transition

#### **Transition between** $1_1s_{1/2} \& 1_0s_{1/2}$



The relative populations of hydrogen atoms in the two spin states defines the spin temperature  $T_s$ , ( $T_* = 68mK$ , $\nu_0 = 1420$  MHz):

$$\frac{n_1}{n_0} = 3 \, \exp\left(\frac{-T_*}{T_s}\right)$$

Image Credit: nrao.edu

$${\sf E}{=}~5.87 imes10^{-6}~eV$$
 ,  ${\sf A}_{21}=2.88 imes10^{-15}~sec\sim11~(Myr)^{-1}$ 

#### **Brightness temperature**

• The transfer of radiation through thermally emitting matter can be described in terms of the specific intensity:

$$\frac{dI_{\nu}}{d\tau_{\nu}}=-I_{\nu}+B_{\nu}(T)$$

- The temperature of a black body having the same specific intensity as  $I_{\nu}$  is the **Brightness Temperature**.
- In RJ regime  $I_{\nu} = \frac{2\nu^2}{c^2}kT$  and so the above equation can be written in terms of the brightness temperature, with solution:

$$T_b'(\nu) = T_S(1 - e^{-\tau_{\nu}}) + T_R'(\nu)e^{-\tau_{\nu}}$$

• The background radiation is usually CMB, so  $T_R'(
u) = T_\gamma(z)$ 

#### **21cm Brightness Temperature**



Image Credit:lunar.colorado.edu/dare/science

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{b}}(\nu) &= \frac{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{S}} - \mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{1 + \mathsf{z}} (1 - \mathsf{e}^{-\tau \nu_0}) \approx \\ 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{HI}} (1 + \delta_{\mathsf{nI}}) \left( \frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{r}}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{r} + \mathsf{H}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{S}}} \right) \left( \frac{1 + \mathsf{z}}{10} \frac{\mathsf{0.15}}{\Omega_{\mathsf{M}}\mathsf{h}^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\Omega_{\mathsf{b}}\mathsf{h}^2}{\mathsf{0.023}} \right) \mathsf{mK} \end{split}$$

### **Spin Temperature**

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{b}}(\nu) \propto \mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{HI}} \ (1+\delta_{\mathsf{nI}}) \ \left(1-\frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{S}}}\right) \\ \delta \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{b}} < 0 \ \text{if} \ \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{s}} < \mathsf{T}_{\gamma}: \ \text{absorption} \\ \delta \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{b}} > 0 \ \text{if} \ \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{s}} > \mathsf{T}_{\gamma}: \ \text{emission} \\ \delta \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{b}} = 0 \ \text{if} \ \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{s}} = \mathsf{T}_{\gamma}: \ \text{No signal} \end{split}$$

Three competing processes determine  $T_s$ :

- Absorption of CMB photons(and stimulated emission by CMB photons)
- 2. Collisions with other hydrogen atoms, free electrons, and protons
- 3. Scattering of Lyman alpha photons (Wouthuysen-Field Effect)

$$\mathsf{T}_{\sf{s}}^{-1} = \frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}^{-1} + (\mathsf{x}_{\sf{c}} + \mathsf{x}_{\alpha})\mathsf{T}_{\sf{k}}^{-1}}{1 + \mathsf{x}_{\sf{c}} + \mathsf{x}_{\alpha}}$$

#### **Evolution of** $T_s$ and $\delta T_b$ : Models



8/36



#### **Observations of the 21cm brightness temperature**

There are two ways the signal is detected:

- Global Signal:EDGES,LEDA,DARE
- Fluctuations: GMRT, LOFAR, SKA, PAPER

Observational Challenge: Foregrounds are 5 orders of magnitude greater than the signal

- $\bullet~$  Power spectrum  $\rightarrow$  advantageous for observations.
- $\bullet~$  Fields are highly non-Gaussian  $\rightarrow~$  methods to include higher n-point statistics.
- $\bullet$  Analyzing the morphology in real space  $\rightarrow$  disadvantage as large sky volume is required for analysis.
- We use simulations to develop the method and make predictions for physical models.

### Morphology of cosmological fields

- Cosmological fields are random fluctuation fields in 2 or 3 dimensional space.
- Excursion set: all spatial points with field values higher than or equal to a chosen threshold.
- In 2D, boundaries form closed contours enclosing connected regions or holes.

#### **Betti Numbers**: Topological quantities

 $n_c =$  no of connected regions  $n_h =$  no of holes





-1.8



#### Tensor Minkowski Functionals in 2D space

Alesker 1997, Hug 2008, Beisbart et al 2002, Schroeder-Turk et al 2011



 $m+n \leq 2$ 

**Scalar Minkowski Functionals** : m = 0, n = 0

$$W_0 = \int da \longrightarrow area$$
  
 $W_1 = \int_C d\ell \longrightarrow contour length$   
 $W_2 = \int_C \kappa d\ell \longrightarrow genus$ 

**Cosmological application: Gott 1990** 

**Tensor Minkowski Functionals**:  $W_1^{1,1}, W_1^{0,2}, W_2^{1,1}, W_2^{0,2}$  $W_2^{1,1} = \int_C \vec{r} \otimes \hat{n} \kappa \ d\ell = \int_C \hat{T} \otimes \hat{T} \ d\ell$  $\kappa = |d\hat{T}/d\ell|$ 

(Schroeder-Turk et al 2011, Chingangbam,KP Yogendran et al 2017) Translation invariant Gives the size and shape information of the curve :

$$\mathit{Trace}(W_2^{1,1}) = \int_C \mathrm{d}\ell$$

# Shape and Alignment measure using $W_2^{1,1}$

Single Curve:  

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\text{Many curves:} : \\
W_2^{1,1} & \longrightarrow & \lambda_1, \lambda_2, & \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \\
\beta & \equiv & \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \\
0 & \leq & \beta & \leq 1
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\overline{\beta} \equiv \left\langle \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\
\overline{\beta} \equiv \left\langle \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right\rangle \\
\text{Average over all curves} & \longrightarrow & \left\langle W_2^{1,1} \right\rangle & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\alpha & \equiv & \frac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_2}, & 0 & \leq \alpha & \leq 1
\end{array}$$

Epoch of Reionization

# Shape and Alignment measure using $W_2^{1,1}$

#### $\beta$ :intrinsic shape of each curve



 $\alpha {:} {\bf relative \ alignment \ of \ many \ curves}$ 



# Simulating EoR

Messinger et. al., 2010

- The brightness temperature field was generated using the publicly available code 21cmFAST.
- Uses a combination of the excursion set and perturbation theory to generate full 3D realizations of:
  - Evolved density Field
  - ▶ Ionization field  $\zeta$  and  $T_{vir}$
  - Spin temperature field  $\zeta_X$  and  $T_{vir}$
  - Brightness temperature field

#### Simulation

- Simulated  $\delta T_b$ ,  $T_s$ ,  $\delta_{nl}$  and  $x_{Hl}$ .
- Box size = 200 Mpc, resolution =  $512^3$  grid.
- Combinations of  $\zeta$  and  $T_{vir}$  to correspond to reionization ending at  $z \approx 6$ ,  $\tau_{re} = 0.054$  (PLANCK 2018).

### Morphology of fields during EoR

#### **Physical questions**

- How is the shape of structures related to the underlying physics of EoR?
- To study the morphology of  $\delta_{nI}$ ,  $T_s$  and  $x_{HI}$ , and see how the morphology is reflected in  $\delta T_b$ .
- To discriminate models of reionization
- To trace ionization and heating history of the IGM

### **Quantities of interest: Single curve**

- $\lambda_1, \, \lambda_2 \longrightarrow \text{Eigenvalues of } W_1$
- $\beta^{ch} \equiv \lambda_1/\lambda_2$
- $r^{ch} \equiv (contour \ length)/(2\pi)$
- $n_c(\nu), n_h(\nu) \longrightarrow \text{Betti Numbers at a given } \nu$

#### Average quantities at each threshold, $\boldsymbol{\nu}$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\lambda}_{i,\mathrm{x}}(\nu) &\equiv \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{x}}(\nu)} \lambda_{i,\mathrm{x}}(j)}{n_{\mathrm{x}}(\nu)} \\ \overline{r}_{\mathrm{x}}(\nu) &\equiv \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{x}}(\nu)} r_{\mathrm{x}}(j)}{n_{\mathrm{x}}(\nu)} \\ \overline{\beta}_{\mathrm{x}}(\nu) &\equiv \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{x}}(\nu)} \beta_{\mathrm{x}}(j)}{n_{\mathrm{x}}(\nu)} \end{split}$$

#### Isotropic Gaussian random field

- The analytical forms for scalar Minkowski functionals (Tomita 1986, Schmalzing:1998) and  $\alpha$  (Chingangbam, Yogendran et al.:2017)for Gaussian random fields is known .
- Their variation with threshold is same for a gaussian random field, irrespective of it's power spectrum.
- However, their amplitude depends upon  $\sigma_0$  (standard deviation) and  $\sigma_1$  (standard deviation of the field derivative).
- The variation of Betti numbers is sensitive to the power spectrum (Park et al. 2013, Pranav 2018) and their analytical forms is not known.
- The analytical form for variation of  $\beta$  is not known but it is sensitive to power spectrum.
- *r<sup>ch</sup>* gives a measure of perimeter of individual curves. It is sensitive to power spectrum of the field.

#### Isotropic Gaussian random field

On varying  $\nu$  from top to bottom:

- Isolated small connected regions around the highest peaks of the field and their number gradually increases
- Some of these small connected regions merge thereby decreasing their number.
- Connected regions all merge to form a single connected region with holes puncturing it which shrink in size and disappear as we go lower in threshold.

(Image Credit:Feldbrugge and Engelen,University of Groningen (2012))





#### **Redshift Evolution of average quantities**

Condense the  $\nu$  dependence to get a single quantity at each redshift

$$\begin{split} N_{\rm x}(z) &\equiv \int_{\nu_{\rm low}}^{\nu_{\rm high}} \mathrm{d}\nu \, n_{\rm x}(\nu,z) \\ \lambda_{i,{\rm x}}^{\rm ch}(z) &\equiv \frac{\int_{\nu_{\rm low}}^{\nu_{\rm high}} \mathrm{d}\nu \, n_{\rm x}(\nu,z) \bar{\lambda}_{i,{\rm x}}(\nu)}{N_{\rm x}(z)} \\ r_{\rm x}^{\rm ch}(z) &\equiv \frac{\int_{\nu_{\rm low}}^{\nu_{\rm high}} \mathrm{d}\nu \, n_{\rm x}(\nu,z) \bar{r}_{\rm x}(\nu)}{N_{\rm x}(z)} \\ \beta_{\rm x}^{\rm ch}(z) &\equiv \frac{\int_{\nu_{\rm low}}^{\nu_{\rm high}} \mathrm{d}\nu \, n_{\rm x}(\nu,z) \bar{\beta}_{\rm x}(\nu)}{N_{\rm x}(z)} \end{split}$$

 $\nu_{high}$  and  $\nu_{low}$  can be suitably chosen based on physical interpretation.

### Morphology of $\delta_{nl}$



#### Peaks grow $\ensuremath{\textbf{BUT}}$ at the cost of valleys

# Morphology of $\delta_{nl}$









z = 10.26

----- z = 13.28



tot

--- hole

# Morphology of $x_{HI}$ field



#### To define a connected region or hole as neutral or ionized:

**Holes**: If  $\nu_{max} > 0$  then  $\nu_{high} = 0$ **Connected Regions**: If  $\nu_{min} < 0$  then  $\nu_{low} = 0$ 

### Morphology of $x_{HI}$ field : Progress of ionization

--- Con --- Hole

- The rate of Formation of sources
- The rate of growth of Bubbles
- The rate of mergers of Bubbles

 $Z_{frag} \longrightarrow$  Rate of source formation=Merger rate of Bubbles  $z_{0.5} \longrightarrow N_c = N_h$  $z_e \longrightarrow N_c$  starts decreasing



#### Morphology of $x_{HI}$ field: Model Comparison

 $\zeta f_{coll}(x, z, R) \geq 1$ 

#### Number of ionizing photons > number of neutral hydrogen atoms

- Fiducial model:  $\zeta = 17.5$  ,  $\zeta_X = 2 \times 10^{56}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{vir} = 3 \times 10^4$  K
- Fiducial model with  $\zeta = 10.9$ ,  $T_{vir} = 1 \times 10^4$  K
- Fiducial model with  $\zeta=23.3,\ T_{vir}=5 imes10^3$  K

 $\zeta f_{coll}(x, z, R) \ge 1 + \overline{n}_{rec}(x, z, R)$ 

### Morphology of $x_{HI}$ field: Model Comparison

# Low $\mathcal{T}_{\textit{vir}} \rightarrow$ Less efficient but more numerous sources –Frequent mergers



# Morphology of $T_s$ field

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{s}}^{-1} = \frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}^{-1} + (\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{c}} + \mathsf{x}_{\alpha})\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{k}}^{-1}}{1 + \mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{c}} + \mathsf{x}_{\alpha}}$$

- Fluctuations in x<sub>c</sub> at these redshifts can be ignored.
- Therefore only fluctuations in  $x_{\alpha}$  and  $T_k$  determine  $T_s$  fluctuations.
- Before X-ray heating,  $T_k \propto 1/(1+z)^{-2} \rightarrow$  no fluctuations in  $T_k$ , only fluctuations in  $x_{\alpha}$  will determine the fluctuations in  $T_s$ .
- If  $x_{\alpha}$  is high,  $T_S$  is closer to  $T_K$  than it is to  $T_{\gamma}$ , hence  $T_s$  will be closer to  $T_K$  evolution in such regions.
- $x_{\alpha} \propto (1+z)^{-1} J_{\alpha}$  which is the  $Ly \alpha$  background flux which depends directly upon the rate of appearance of  $Ly \alpha$  sources.
- Soon  $x_{\alpha}$  will saturate and highest density regions will now host X-ray efficient sources  $\rightarrow T_k$  is now fluctuating component and determines the fluctuations in  $T_s$ .

#### Highest Density regions have higher $x_{\alpha}$ and hence lower $T_s$ The same regions will be the first places where X-ray sources will appear at later times

Flipping between a valley and a peak



# Morphology $T_s$ Field : Model Comparison

 $\zeta_X \rightarrow$  Number of X-ray photons produced per solar mass

Lower  $T_{vir} \rightarrow$  Less efficient sources



# Morphology $T_s$ Field : Model Comparison

 $\zeta_X \rightarrow$  Number of X-ray photons produced per solar mass

Lower  $\zeta_X$  correspond to less efficient sources



### Morphology of $\delta T_b$ Field





### Morphology of $\delta T_b$ Field







#### Epoch of Reionization





#### Summary

#### Kapahtia, Chingangbam et al (arXiv:1904.06840)-Under review

Model	Z <sub>frag</sub>	<b>Z</b> 0.5	Ze	Zre	$ au_{re}$
Fiducial	$\sim 11.69$	$\sim$ 7.407	$\sim 6.5$	$\sim 6.2$	$\sim 0.054$
$T_{vir} = 1 \times 10^4 K$	$\sim 13.857$	$\sim 7.698$	$\sim 6.5$	$\sim 6.0$	$00 \sim 0.058$
$T_{vir} = 5 \times 10^4 K$	$\sim 11.194$	$\sim$ 7.32	$\sim 6.5$	$\sim 6.0$	$00 \sim 0.052$
$\zeta_X = 1  imes 10^{57}$	$\sim 12.73$	$\sim 7.5$	$\sim$ 6.5	$\sim 6.2$	$\sim 0.034$
Recombination	$\sim 12.2$	$\sim 6.8$	_	< 6.0	00
Model	$r_{z_{0.5}}^{ch}$ (	Mpc)	ZEoR	$\bar{x}_{HI}^{EoR}$	Z <sub>tr</sub>
Fiducial	$\sim 20.5$	$\pm 0.78$	$\sim 8.7$	$\sim 0.73$	$\sim 17.11$
$T_{vir} = 1 \times 10^4 K$	$\sim$ 15 $\pm$	0.424	$\sim 9.1$	$\sim 0.71$	$\sim 19.4$
$T_{\rm vir} = 5 \times 10^4 K$	$\sim 22.5$	$\pm 0.96$	$\sim 8.6$	$\sim 0.77$	$\sim 15.7$
$\zeta_X = 1 \times 10^{57}$	$\sim$ 20 $\pm$	0.689	$\sim 9.12$	~0.77	$\sim 18.6$

#### Conclusion

- The number, size and shape of structures of excursion set of the fields exhibit clear evolution as a function of redshift.
- This evolution gives the important time and length scales of EoR
- This allows us to discriminate different EoR models

#### **Ongoing and future Work**

- Sensitivity and signal to noise measures of Minkowski functionals for SKA like interferometers.
- Performing Bayesian analysis to obtain constraints on different models of reionization
- Extension to Minkowski tensors in 3-D.