Composite optical/X-ray image of the Crab Nebula (Optical: NASA/HST/ASU/J. Hester et al.) X-Ray: NASA/CXC/ASU/J. Hester et al.)

Search for Continuous Gravitational Waves from Spinning Neutron Stars

Speaker : Ling Sun Supervisor : Andrew Melatos OzGrav, University of Melbourne

Agenda

- Background (GW, detections, sources)
- Hidden Markov models
- Low-mass X-ray binary (LMXB) Scorpius X-1
- Young supernova remnant (SNR) SN1987A
- Post-merger remnant GW170817
- Other contribution & future work



100 years ago... 1915 - 1916 Einstein predicted gravitational waves...

100 years later... 2015.09.14 LIGO detected the first gravitational-waves event!

More detections... ... To be continued

What are gravitational waves?



Credit: NASA/Dana Berry, Sky Works Digital

What is Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory?



Credit: LIGO/Virgo







GW170814

GW170104

LVT151012

GW151226

GW170817

GW150914

LIGO/Virgo/NASA/Leo Singer (Milky Way image: Axel Mellinger)

Binary neutron star coalescence - GW170817





What else?



CHECKLIST ARY SYSTEM ACK HOLES EUTRON STARS WITH EM COUNTERI RNOVAE SARS CHASTIC

TTERWEBCOMICS COM

Small h₀... Need longer observation and more computing cost

Continuous wave data analysis categories

- Targeted searches for pulsars with known sky position and ephemerides
- **Directed searches** for neutron stars with known sky position but unknown rotation frequency
- All-sky searches over the entire sky for unknown neutron stars

Challenges in directed searches

We do not know the spin frequency of the star

• Need to search a broad range of frequencies — a lot computing cost

The spin frequency is wandering

- Internal fluctuating magnetospheric or superfluid torques
- External fluctuating accretion torque
- Can not do coherent search over a long duration

Rapid spin down of young targets

• Need to search higher time derivatives of frequency



Hidden Markov models

[1] Suvorova, Sun, Melatos, Moran, Evans, Phys. Rev. D 93, 123009 (2016)

Hidden Markov Model

- Markov Chain A random process with discrete states, changing from one state to another; The next state only depends on the current state; The transition is governed by a transition probability matrix.
- Hidden Markov Model States are not directly observable.



Viterbi Algorithm and Optimal Path



Tracking Spin-wandering Signals



Image: An artist's impression of the Scorpius X-1 LMXB system Credit: Ralf Schoofs

Low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) — HMM tracking

[2] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 95, 122003 (2017)

Low-mass X-ray binary (LMXB)



Image: Tauris et al., Formation and evolution of compact stellar X-ray sources

Why is Scorpius X-1 interesting?

- Accretion in LMXB is a natural method of powering GW emission.
- Torque-balance theory accretion spins the star up; GW emission slows it down — the more X-ray luminous, the stronger GW emission
- Scorpius X-1 the brightest LMXB in our galaxy; sky position and orbital period well observed



Image: Tauris et al., Formation and evolution of compact stellar X-ray sources

Before HMM tracking...

 Signal is Doppler modulated a₀ - projected semi-major axis P - orbital period



$$h_{+,\times}(t) \propto \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(2\pi f_0 a_0) \cos[2\pi (f_0 + n/P)t]$$

Intermediate polar animation by Dr Andy Beardmore, Keele University

Use a Bessel-weighted matched filter



Remove the Doppler modulation







Search results in the first Advanced LIGO observing run



Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. D. 95, 122003 (2017)

Image: An artist's impression of the Scorpius X-1 LMXB system Credit: Ralf Schoofs

Low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) — Sideband search

[3] Sun, Melatos, Sammut, LIGO-T1600457 (2016)

Sideband Search (Advanced LIGO O1)



Sammut et al., PRD 89, 043001 (2014)

- Only search a 10-day data stretch (avoid the impact of spin wandering)
- The search was conducted using the Initial LIGO S5 data
- Less sensitive than HMM tracking
- O1 results improve on previously published S5 results by a factor of ~4

Young supernova remnants (SNRs) — Cross-correlation search

[4] Sun, Melatos, Lasky, Chung, Darman, Phys. Rev. D 94, 082004 (2016)

Cross-Correlation Method

- A semi-coherent search strategy (Dhurandhar et al. 2008; Chung et al. 2011)
- Short Fourier Transform (SFT) segments (30 min) for long T_{obs} (1 year, 4 months, etc.)

Credit: J. T. Whelan





Detection Statistic

• SFTs are paired and multiplied

$$\mathcal{Y}_{IJ} = \frac{\tilde{x}_{k_I,I}^* \tilde{x}_{k_J,J}}{(\Delta T)^2}$$

• **Detection statistic** is a weighted sum of \mathcal{Y}_{IJ} over all SFT pairs.

$$\rho = \sum_{IJ} (u_{IJ} \mathcal{Y}_{IJ} + u_{IJ}^* \mathcal{Y}_{IJ}^*)$$

Weights - parameters of the source, including

1) Fast phase evolution terms (i.e. f, f', etc.)

2) Slow functions of orientation (i.e. ψ , ι , etc.)

Phase Tracking for Young Target

Search over $\{\nu_0, Q_1, Q_2, n\}$ instead of $\{\nu, \dot{\nu}, \ddot{\nu}, \ddot{\nu}, \cdots\}$

$$\dot{\nu} = -Q_1\nu^5 - Q_2\nu^n$$

 $Q_1 \propto \epsilon^2$ Gravitational spin down $Q_2 \propto B^2$ Electromagnetic spin down

Cross-Correlation Search for SN 1987A (Initial LIGO S5)



- Type II core-collapse supernova (February 1987)
- Large Magellanic Cloud (α = 5h 35m 28.03s, δ = -69°16'11.79'', d = 51.4 kpc.)
- Initial LIGO upper limit $h_0 \sim 3.8 \times 10^{-25}$



Young supernova remnants (SNRs) — HMM tracking

[5] Sun, Melatos, Suvorova, Moran, Evans, arXiv:1710.00460 (2017)

Frequency tracking

Weak spin wandering (timing noise)

- Allow f₀ to move at most one bin over each step
- Short step size is required
- Emission probabilities: 1-D maximum likelihood estimator $\mathcal{F}(f_0)$



Tracking Example $|\dot{f}_0| \sim 10^{-11} \,\mathrm{Hzs}^{-1}$



Frequency tracking

Strong spin wandering (timing noise)





Tracking Example $|\dot{f}_0| \sim 10^{-11} \,\mathrm{Hzs}^{-1}$



$|\dot{f}_0| \sim 10^{-8}\,{ m Hzs}^{-1}$ Rapid spin down, negligible spin wandering



An alternative: 2-D f_0, f_0 tracking

- Allow f_0 to move at most one bin over each step
- Track limited frequency range according to f_0
- Emission probabilities: 2-D maximum likelihood estimator $\mathcal{F}(f_0, f_0)$

2-D Tracking Example

$$|\dot{f}_0| \sim 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{Hzs}^{-1}$$

Image: Artist's illustration of two merging neutron stars. (Credit: NSF/ LIGO/Sonoma State University/ Aurore Simonnet)

GW170817 post-merger remnant — HMM tracking

[5] Sun, Melatos, Suvorova, Moran, Evans, arXiv:1710.00460 (2017)

What is left over after GW170817?

- Prompt formation of a BH
- Hypermassive NS that collapses to a BH in ~ < 1s
- Supramassive NS that collapses to a BH on timescales of ~10 10⁴ s
- Formation of a stable NS

Credit: T. Dietrich, S. Ossokine, H. Pfeiffer, A. Buonanno/Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics/BAM collaboration

What is left over after GW170817?

- HMM tracking can be readily applied to the post-merger search for long-duration quasi-CW signals (spin-down timescale ~10² – 10⁴ s)
- Unmodelled search; allow the spinning-down signal to wander
- Use 1-sec SFTs to cope with the extremely rapid spin down

Other contribution

- Advanced LIGO O1 Hardware injection verification
- Test the front-end calibration
- HMM tracking for Sco X-1 v2.0 (led by Clearwater & Suvorova)

[6] Biwer et al, Phys. Rev. D 95, 062002 (2017)

[7] Suvorova, Clearwater, Melatos, Sun, Moran, Evans, Hidden, arXiv:1710.07092, accepted for publication in PRD (2017)

Ongoing & Future work

- Complete the GW170817 post-merger remnant search
- Further improve the methods, and search upcoming interferometer data
- Search other CW sources, e.g., ultralight boson cloud around a BH
- Extend my research to gravitational-wave physics more broadly

Thanks! Questions?